- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381. USA.
- Morihira, K., Seto, M., Furukawa, T., Horiguchi, Y. & Kuwajima, I. (1993). In preparation.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1203-1205

Structure of a Triazaoxabicyclodecatriene Derivative

PAOLO BELTRAME

Dipartimento di Chimica Fisica ed Elettrochimica, Università di Milano, Via Golgi 19, I-20133 Milano, Italy

GIOANNA GELLI AND ENZO CADONI

Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Università di Cagliari, Via Ospedale 72, I-09124 Cagliari, Italy

Maria M. Carnasciali, Marcella Pani and Angelo Mugnoli*

Istituto di Chimica Fisica, Università di Genova, Corso Europa 26, I-16132 Genova, Italy

(Received 24 September 1992; accepted 8 December 1992)

Abstract

The structure of ethyl 5-methyl-10-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-8-oxa-1,2,9-triazabicyclo[5.3.0]deca-3,5,9triene-2-carboxylate (I) has been determined. The 1,2diazepine ring has a twisted-sofa form and the 1,2,4oxadiazole ring has an envelope conformation, with the bridgehead C7 atom out of the plane of the ring. The terminal methyl group of the side chain is disordered, being split over two different positions.

Comment

Compound (I) has been obtained as the main adduct, along with two minor products, from the cycloaddition reaction between 2,4,6-trimethylphenylnitrile oxide and ethyl 5-methyl-1,2-diazepine-1-carboxylate (Beltrame, Cadoni, Carnasciali, Gelli, Lai, Mugnoli & Pani, 1992). The crystal structure determination of (I) has been performed to confirm the C=N diazepine bond as the preferred reaction site (in the competition between one C=N

0108-2701/93/061203-03\$06.00

and two C=C bonds) and to define the regioselectivity of the cycloaddition reaction.



Apart from the disordered end of the ethoxycarbonyl chain, the bond lengths are in good agreement with the average literature values (Allen, Kennard, Watson, Brammer, Orpen & Taylor, 1987); the only significant differences concern the observed bond distances N2-C3 [1.409(6) Å] and N9=C10 [1.267 (6) Å]. However, these values compare well with the corresponding bond distances found in a 1,2-diazepine derivative similar to (I) [1.408 (3) Å; Allmann & Debaerdemaeker (1974)] and in recent structure determinations of 1,2,4-oxadiazoles retrieved from the Cambridge Structural Database, version 4.6 [1.280(9) Å, sample e.s.d.; Allen, Kennard & Taylor (1983)]. In the disordered part of the molecule the bond lengths O13-C14, and C14-C15 and C14-C15' refine to long and short distances, respectively, while the bond angles O13-C14-C15 and O13-C14-C15' have fairly normal values. The puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) calculated for the seven- and five-membered rings $[q_2 = 0.415(4), q_3 = 0.285(4) \text{ Å}, \varphi_2 = -149.0(6), \varphi_3 =$ $-175(1)^{\circ}$; $q_2 = 0.269(4)$ Å, $\varphi_2 = 35.7(9)^{\circ}$, respectively] correspond to an approximate twisted sofa (Boessenkool & Boeyens, 1980) and an envelope conformation, respectively. In the oxadiazole ring, the N1, O8, N9 and C10 atoms are coplanar within 0.005 (4) Å, with a deviation of 0.429 (5) Å for the C7 atom. Intermolecular distances are in the normal range. There is just one contact appreciably shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (Pauling, 1960): C14...C15(1 - x, $-\frac{1}{2}$ + y, $\frac{1}{2}$ - z) 3.64 (2) Å.



Fig. 1. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level and the disordered atoms C15 and C15' are treated isotropically. H atoms are on an arbitrary scale.

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography

1204

REGULAR STRUCTURAL PAPERS

Experimental		C7 08	0.0325 (6) 0.1277 (4)	0.1778 0.1426	(6) 0.1653 (2) (4) 0.1404 (1)	0.0580 (15)
Crystal data		N9	-0.1451 (5)	0.2541	(4) 0.1017 (1)	0.0592 (14)
C. H. N.O.	Mo Ko ministion	C10	-0.0424 (5)	0.3646	(5) 0.1115 (1)	0.0483 (14)
$C_{19} = 241.41$	$\lambda = 0.7107$	C11	0.1908 (8)	0.5173	(8) 0.2042 (2)	0.0797 (20)
$M_r = 341.41$	$\lambda = 0./10/A$	012	0.0699 (6)	0.5197	(6) 0.2314 (1)	0.1060 (19)
M.p. = 406 K	Cell parameters from 25	013	0.3364 (6)	0.5971	(6) 0.2074 (2)	0.1092 (20)
Orthorhombic	reflections	Cl4	0.3688 (16)	0.6815	(12) 0.2540 (3)	0.1489 (44)
$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$	$\theta = 16.0 - 19.2^{\circ}$	C16 C17	0.4424 (8)	-0.0155 ((8) 0.1085 (3) (5) 0.0833 (3)	0.0991 (25)
a = 7.671 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.078 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C17 C18	-0.0190 (3)	0.3071	(5) 0.0832(2) (5) 0.0394(2)	0.0492(13)
h = 8.621(2)Å	T = 293 K	C19	0.0963(6)	0.4987	(5) 0.0394(2) (5) 0.0145(2)	0.0566 (15)
c = 28 101 (8) Å	Prism	C20	0.0423 (7)	0.7772	(5) 0.0319 (2)	0.0673 (18)
$V = 1064$ (1) λ^{3}	$0.54 \times 0.40 \times 0.32$ mm	C21	-0.0466 (7)	0.7822	(5) 0.0739 (2)	0.0694 (19)
V = 1004 (1) A	$0.34 \times 0.40 \times 0.32$ mm	C22	-0.0809 (6)	0.6495	(6) 0.0994 (2)	0.0617 (14)
Z = 4.00	Colourless	C23	0.1248 (7)	0.3470	(5) 0.0185 (2)	0.0619 (16)
$D_x = 1.216 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		C24	0.0768 (9)	0.9250	(7) 0.0030 (3)	0.0972 (27)
		C25	-0.1883 (8)	0.6590	(8) 0.1455 (2)	0.0925 (23)
Data collection		C15 C15/	0.4942 (26)	0.7775	(19) 0.2482 (8)	0.1245 (85)
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$	CIS	0.3080 (24)	0.8180 ((19) 0.2469 (6)	0.1224 (72)
diffractometer	$h = 0 \rightarrow 9$	Table 2. Geometric parameters (Å, °)				
$\omega - \theta$ scans	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$	N1 N2		1 394 (5)	C7 O 9	1 4 47 (5)
Absorption correction:	$l = 0 \rightarrow 36$	N1 - N2 N1 - C7		1.384 (5)	C/08	1.447 (5)
none	2 standard reflections	N1 - C10		1.457 (0)	N9_C10	1.401 (5)
2450 mangurad reflections	fraguency: 180 min	N2-C3		1.409 (6)	C10-C17	1.476 (6)
2450 incasticul reflections	interaction contractions E	N2-C11		1.388 (6)	C11-012	1.206 (7)
2450 independent reflections	intensity variation: 5%	C3—C4		1.313 (7)	C11-013	1.315 (7)
1628 observed reflections		C4—C5		1.463 (7)	O13—C14	1.52 (1)
$[F > 4\sigma(F)]$		C5—C6		1.338 (6)	C14-C15	1.28 (2)
		C5-C16		1.516 (8)	C14—C15′	1.28 (2)
Refinement		C6C7	C10	1.482 (6)	NU 05 00	00 1 (1)
Refinement on F	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.06$	N2 N1	C10 C10	106.4 (4)	NI = C/ = 08	99.1 (4)
Final P = 0.0618	$(\Delta / c) = 0.27 + \lambda^{-3}$	N2_N1_	C10	121.1(4)	08_{N0}_{C10}	107.0 (3)
$r_{\rm mar} = 0.0018$	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.37 \text{ e A}^{-1}$	N1-N2-	C))	115 2 (4)	N1-C10-N9	112 7 (4)
WK = 0.0841	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \ {\rm e \ A}^{-5}$	N1-N2-	C3	120.7 (4)	N9-C10-C17	125.5 (4)
S = 1.667	Atomic scattering factors	C3-N2-	C11	124.1 (4)	N1-C10-C17	121.7 (4)
1628 reflections	from International Tables	N2-C3-	C4	123.3 (5)	N2-C11-O13	109.5 (5)
227 parameters	for X-ray Crystallogra-	C3-C4-0	C5	130.0 (5)	N2-C11-O12	123.6 (5)
H-atom parameters not re-	phy (1974, Vol. IV, Tables	C4C5	C6	126.7 (5)	012-C11-013	126.9 (5)
fined	2.2A 2.3.1 for C N and	CS-C6-0		131.0 (5)	C11 - O13 - C14	116.6 (5)
11100	Ω : Table 2.2C for H)	C6 - C7 -		110.4 (4)	013 - 014 - 015	104 (1)
$w = 1/[\sigma(F) + 0.0015F]$		C7_N1_	C10_N9	187(5)	C5-C6-C7-N1	50(8)
to deal with the disordered end of the side chain, during the		N2-N1-	C10-N9	160.0 (4)	C5 - C6 - C7 - 08	117.7 (6)
retinement the sum of the s.o.f.'s of C15 and C15' was tied to		N2-N1-	C7C6	-50.8(6)	C6-C7-O8-N9	-95.9 (4)
unity and the bond distances C14-C15 and C14-C15' were		C7-N1-	N2-C3	75.6 (5)	N1-C7-08-N9	26.7 (4)
constrained to the same variable value. The final values of the		C10-N1-	-N2-C3	-60.7 (6)	C7-O8-N9-C10	-17.5 (5)
s.o.f.'s of C15 and C15' are 0.47 (2) and 0.53 (2), respectively.		C10-N1-	-C7O8	-26.9 (4)	08-N9-C10-N1	-0.9 (5)
		N1	C11-012	8.0 (8)	N1-C10-C17-C18	111.3 (5)
Data collection: Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 software. Cell refine-		N1-N2-	C3C4	-39.9 (7)	N2-C11-O13-C14	-167.4 (6)
ment: Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 software. Data reduction: NR-		N2_C3	C11013 C4C5	-62(0)	012 - 013 - 013 - 014	10.5 (10)
CVAX (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989) and		C3-C4-0	C5-C6	12.3 (9)	C11-O13-C14-C15'	-93.6 (11)

ment: Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 software. Data reduction: NR-CVAX (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989) and CADABS (local software). Program(s) used to solve structure: NRCVAX. Program(s) used to refine structure: NRCVAX and SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Program(s) used for geometrical calculations: PARST (Nardelli, 1983). Molecular graphics: OR-TEP (Johnson, 1976). Preparation of CIF: PARSTCIF (Nardelli, 1992).

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters (Å²)

We thank the Italian CNR for financial support.

6.3 (9)

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 55949 (22 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: NA1025]

References

C4-C5-C6-C7

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O. & Taylor, R. (1983). Acc. Chem. Res. 16, 146-153.
- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1-S19.

Allmann, R. & Debaerdemaeker, T. (1974). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 3, 365-368.

- Beltrame, P., Cadoni, E., Carnasciali, M. M., Gelli, G., Lai, A., Mugnoli, A. & Pani, M. (1992). *Heterocycles*, 34, 1583-1604.
- Boessenkool, I. K. & Boeyens, J. C. A. (1980). J. Cryst. Mol. Struct. 10, 11-18.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384-387.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Nardelli, M. (1992). PARSTCIF. Program for the creation of a CIF from the output of PARST. Univ. of Parma, Italy.
- Pauling, L. (1960). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd ed. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1205-1207

Structure of Photochromic Spiroxazines. II. 1',3',3'-Trimethylspiro{anthra[2,1-f][1,4]benzoxazine-2,2'-indoline}-7,12-dione

ROBERTO MILLINI* AND GASTONE DEL PIERO

Eniricerche SpA, Via F. Maritano 26, I-20097 San Donato Milanese, Milano, Italy

Pietro Allegrini, Vincenzo Malatesta and Graziano Castaldi

Enichem Synthesis, Via F. Maritano 26, I-20097 San Donato Milanese, Milano, Italy

(Received 8 October 1992; accepted 4 January 1993)

Abstract

The asymmetric unit comprises two crystallographically independent molecules. The molecule consists of a substituted indoline ring orthogonally linked to an anthraquinone-benzo-oxazine moiety through a spiro C atom having regular sp^3 hybridization. The length of the C_{spiro}—O bond, that undergoes cleavage upon photoexcitation, is larger than that normally observed for other spiroxazines having lower photocolourability than the title compound.

Comment

The title compound (I), as for all other spiroindolinonaphthoxazines, exhibits photochromism. Upon UV irradiation it undergoes a ring-opening reaction leading to a red-absorbing merocyanine-like structure (II). The reaction is reversible, (I) being restored by either thermal or photochemical bleaching.



The electrocyclic ring-opening reaction of spirobenzopyrans or spironaphthoxazines, leading to coloured merocyanines, has been extensively studied with a host of different spectroscopic techniques. There is now a general consensus on the reactive excited-state multiplicity, which has been identified as a singlet, that leads to the ring opening, and it is likely that the photocolouration is based on a charge-transfer process from the indoline N-atom lone pair, n_N , into the antibonding orbital of the C_{spiro} —O bond, $\sigma^*_{C spiro}$ —O, of the oxazine moiety. This process should be maximized when the n_N is antiperiplanar to the C_{spiro}-O bond. The length of the latter bond should increase with the extent of the $n_{\rm N}-\sigma^*$ interaction and the N-C_{spiro} bond length should concomitantly decrease. The efficiency of the photocolouration reaction may then correlate, among other things, to C_{spiro}-O bond distance. To verify this hypothesis we have carried out the X-ray structure analysis of the anthraquinone-based spiroxazine (I).

Two crystallographically independent molecules exist in the asymmetric unit. The significant difference between them may reflect the extent to which the conformation of (I) is affected by crystal packing, but we found no significant differences for the bond involved in the ring-opening reaction.

On the other hand, the C_{spiro} —O bond is much longer (1.473–1.475 Å) than those typically observed in oxygen-containing six-membered heterocycles [1.41–1.43 Å (Kennard, Watson & Town, 1973)]. These bonds are also longer than those observed in spiroindolinenaphthoxazines [1.454 Å (Millini, Del

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography